SSN: 3068-8469 Iounios, 2026

Journal of Pre-Nicene Christian Studies

In this Issue:

Papyrus 46 and the Marcionite Apostolikon: Codicological Constraints, Apostolic Warnings and the Adulteration of the Pauline Corpus P.69

30 CRITICAL YEARS THAT RECAST GOD AND THE BIBLE
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20265848
144 AD – MARCION PRESENTS THE ARCHIVED CORPUS
ROME
POLYCARP, MARTYR, MATTHIAS BEN KHERESH, ANICETUS
175 AD – PAPYRUS 46 AFRICAN LATIN BIBLE
144 AD
150 AD
155 AD
160 AD
165 AD
170 AD
175 AD
PHASE 1 (144–155 AD) – MOBILIZATION
YAHWIST COALITION FORMS TO DEFEAT A COMMON ENEMY IN THE FORM OF MARCION AND THE FIRST CHRISTIAN BIBLE
PHASE 2 (155–170 AD) – CORE INTERPOLATION
KEY INTERCALATIONS ARE ADDED TO VERSES WITHIN GALATIANS AND ROMANS: BIRTH NARRATIVE INSERTED INTO GOSPELS
PHASE 3 (165–175 AD) – INCORPORATION & CONSOLIDATION
JUDAIZED TEXT IS STANDARDIZED AND DISTRIBUTED TO THOUGHT LEADERS OUTSIDE OF ROME
THE THIRTY-
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20265848

For there is nothing covered up, that shall not be revealed; and hidden that shall not be known.
-Evangelion 9:1

l. 2 Iss. 6
ublished by
e-Nicene Christian Ecclesia

Papyrus 46 and the Marcionite Apostolikon: Codicological Constraints, Apostolic Warnings and the Adulteration of the Pauline Corpus

A.W. Mitchell, Chancellor

Marcionite Keleuthos Divinity School

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20265848

Abstract

Between AD 144 and AD 175, the Yahwist temple-church alliance in Rome faced an unprecedented institutional crisis following Marcion of Sinope's discovery and publication of the Apostolikon - a textually brief, stratigraphically pure, unalloyed collection of Pauline epistles. This paper argues that in the decades following the Bar Kokhba revolt, syncretic redactors within this nomistic temple-church coalition, operating in proximity to displaced Jewish-rabbinic intellectual structures, executed a defensive, coordinated expansion of the Pauline collection. To counter the threat of an unalloyed archive that mapped a gospel independent of the deity Yahweh, this chimerical redaction introduced targeted covenantal and genealogical harmonizations into the core epistles (Galatians 3:6–9, 4:4; Romans 1:3) while incorporating independent, institutionally focused texts (the Pastorals and Hebrews). The earliest physical witness to this intercalated composite is Papyrus 46 (c. AD 175). By analyzing the total absence of pre-Irenaean citations regarding these specific proof-texts, alongside the unique physical constraints and paratextual architecture of early single-volume books, this study maps a distinct thirty-year window wherein the unalloyed Pauline corpus was systematically adulterated to serve the Yahweh deity of an amended Tanakh.

Keywords: Thirty-year window, Marcion's Apostolikon, Papyrus 46, Stratigraphically pure corpus, Unalloyed archive, Bar Kokhba aftermath, Evangelion 1:1, Textual intercalation, Adulterated recensions, Yahwist temple-church alliance.

1. Introduction: The Forensic Timeline

The Pauline corpus used by modern churches is a heavily intercalated palimpsest. Its original, recoverable layer is the stratigraphically pure, unalloyed Apostolikon that Marcion of Sinope archived from the Pauline churches and presented to the Roman presbyterium in AD 144. Rather than an editor who altered Paul's words, historical evidence suggests Marcion functioned strictly as an archivist, transcribing and gathering un-tampered letters sitting *in situ* as they circulated among the communities founded by the apostle.[1]

At the absolute core of this entire struggle stands an irreconcilable conflict between two competing cosmological frameworks. This divergence is crystallized by the opening line of the Evangelion (1:1):

> "In the fifteenth year of Tiberius Caesar, Pontius Pilate being governor of Judea, Jesus descended into Capernaum, a city of Galilee."[2]

This text features no infancy narrative, no Bethlehem birth, and no Davidic lineage. Jesus emerges directly from a transcendent, un-created, hitherto unknown Father, descending to earth in fully human form in 29 A.D. and immediately begins his ministry. If this introductory, unalloyed framework is accepted, a specific theological trajectory follows: a gospel of uncompromised grace originating from a source completely distinct from the material universe. Conversely, if the infancy narratives and genealogical frameworks found in the expanded, chimerical recension are accepted, the trajectory shifts decisively toward covenantal continuity, validating the authority of the Yahweh deity and the Hebrew scriptures.

The evidence for this structural shift is fundamentally text-forensic. No Christian writer prior to Irenaeus of Lyons (c. AD 180) quotes the crucial covenantal and genealogical links found in Galatians 4:4 ("born of a woman, born under the law") or Romans 1:3 ("descended from David according to the flesh"). This silence encompasses Justin Martyr (d. 165), Polycarp of Smyrna (d. 155), and the entirety of the Apostolic Fathers.

This absolute silence is highly diagnostic. For an apologist like Justin Martyr, who wrote extensive treatises in Rome defending the Davidic lineage of Jesus, the failure to deploy Paul's explicit assertions of physical and legal continuity suggests these verses were not yet present in the primary Roman manuscript type. In fact, Justin Martyr never directly quotes any epistle of Paul by name in his entire surviving catalog (*First Apology*, *Second Apology*, and *Dialogue with Trypho*). If these explicitly high-profile Pauline proof-texts had been available in the Roman archive during his intense mid-century debates, his total failure to deploy them is a catastrophic, unexplained omission. His silence demonstrates that while the chimerical birth theology was already maturing, it had not yet been codified into the alloyed Pauline composite.

This structural manipulation cannot be dismissed as a speculative, retroactive conspiracy theory; rather, it represents the industrialized escalation of literary and political vectors explicitly documented by the primary author himself. Within the primitive, unalloyed baseline text of the epistles, Paul repeatedly maps out the specific text-war tactics of his nomistic opponents. In Galatians 2:4, ***he explicitly identifies the infiltration vector of the competing Judean networks***: "And that because of false brethren unawares brought in, who came in privily to spy out our liberty which we have in Christ Jesus, that they might bring us into bondage." More critically, in II Thessalonians 2:2, Paul delivers an urgent warning to his assemblies regarding active literary forgery executed under his own brand: "neither by spirit, nor by word, nor by letter as from us, as that the day of Christ is at hand."

The primitive text itself establishes that stealth intercalation, subversive surveillance, and pseudepigraphal letter-tampering were the established, empirically documented operational weapons of the nomistic movement from its genesis. The Yahwist temple-church alliance in mid-second-century Rome did not invent these mechanics; they merely codified and scaled them into a top-down publishing monopoly once Marcion's unalloyed single-volume archive was delivered into their urban jurisdiction.

The Irenaean Boundary (c. AD 180)

Crucially, when Irenaeus of Lyons finally registers the first unambiguous citations of these phrases in *Against Heresies* (c. AD 180), his witness sits safely outside the thirty-year window (AD 144–175). Irenaeus should not be viewed as a participant in the active editing room, but rather as the first authorized distributor of the finished Yahwistic temple-church coalition product. Writing from Gaul, his chief objective was establishing a unified, trans-regional chimerical franchise to crush regional variant movements. To achieve this, he required the marketing of the exact same intercalated composite that Rome had spent the previous three decades manufacturing. His sudden deployment of these verses does not disrupt the timeline; it marks its completion and commercial rollout. The chronological bracket for this editorial stabilization is thus anchored by documented textual activity in mid-second-century Rome, culminating in the earliest surviving physical witness of the expanded collection, **Papyrus 46** (c. AD 175).[3]

2. The Context: Overlapping Trajectories in Post-Bar Kokhba Rome

Following the catastrophic defeat of the Bar Kokhba revolt (AD 132–136), the geopolitical and religious landscape of central Italy shifted dramatically. Torah-committed Jewish factions and refugees dispersed, with the imperial capital serving as a natural gathering point for the displaced Judean intelligentsia. By the mid-140s, a robust intellectual network had formed within the broader Roman Jewish and Christian communities.[4]

When Marcion presented his archived, stratigraphically pure corpus in AD 144, the theological emergency confronting the Yahwist temple-church alliance was not merely an administrative or legal anxiety regarding Roman imperial recognition. Rather, the publication of the un-interpolated, unalloyed Pauline archive struck directly at the structural and cosmological bedrock of their entire religious framework.

The Apostolikon’s pristine text-type demonstrated that the gospel of pure grace operated completely independent of the deity Yahweh, the legal codes of the Torah, and the sacrificial machinery of the temple. Had this unalloyed corpus been permitted to stand as the definitive apostolic baseline, the Yahwist temple-church coalition's entire theological economy - anchored in covenantal continuity, prophetic legitimacy, and the cosmic authority of Yahweh - would have faced total obsolescence.

To counter this existential liquidation, the nomistic temple-church coalition capitalized on the highly developed scribal infrastructure and text-critical capacity of the localized post-war Jewish intellectual milieu. By executing a systematic, top-down revision of the Pauline text, they forcefully superimposed an intrusive, alloyed layer of legal and genealogical continuity onto the archive, transforming the un-harmonized original corpus into a heavily adulterated, synthetic composite designed to secure their institutional dominance over the early Christian movement.

Post-Bar Kokhba Demographics and the Absence of Urban Violence

This chimerical synthesis was facilitated by a unique, symbiotic non-aggression milieu distinct to the imperial capital. While the messianic violence of the Bar Kokhba crisis in the Levant resulted in the active, documented persecution of variant messianic Christian factions by Torah-compliant nationalist forces, the text-forensic record inside Rome during the thirty-year window (AD 144–175)

reveals an absolute absence of physical conflict between the local Jewish academies and the emerging Yahwist temple-church alliance. Marcion and the first Christian bible represented a common enemy to all sects who worshipped Yahweh.

This total lack of urban friction is highly diagnostic. As evidenced by Justin Martyr's *Dialogue with Trypho* (compiled in Rome c. AD 155), while polemical and rhetorical boundaries remained sharp, there are zero records of physical incursions or systemic violence executed by the Roman synagogue networks against the local presbyterial coalition.

Under the immediate surveillance of the pagan Roman state, both Mattithiah ben Heresh's prominent academy and the nomistic temple-church coalition operated under a shared existential necessity to maintain civic order. This political proximity fostered a literary symbiosis: the Yahwist temple-church network required the highly developed scribal infrastructure and text-critical capacity of the localized Jewish intellectual milieu to execute their comprehensive, multi-volume textual defense against Marcion. In return, this chimerical redaction effectively neutralized the Marcionite threat to the antiquity of the Hebrew scriptures, constructing a massive, alloyed library that would ultimately leave the final canon heavily weighted toward the Torah collection (bibles today are comprised of roughly 75% Torah books with the balance represented by the intercalated text discussed in this paper).

3. Factions and Intellectual Networks in the Roman Milieu

The counter-campaign was sustained across several successive Roman episcopates:

- **Pius I** (c. AD 140–154), who initiated the formal institutional break with Marcion in AD 144.[5]
- **Anicetus** (c. AD 154–167) and **Eleutherus** (c. AD 174–189), who maintained the structural consolidation of the text.
- **Polycarp of Smyrna**, who provided regional apostolic prestige during his mid-century visit to Rome, famously denouncing Marcionite theology.[6]
- **Justin Martyr** (c. AD 100–165), who furnished the philosophical framework necessary to read the Hebrew scriptures as preparatory for Christ, though notably executing this defense without ever citing Paul's epistles.[7]
- **Mattithiah ben Heresh**, a prominent tanna who established a recognized yeshiva and academy (*beth din*) in Rome after fleeing the Hadrianic persecutions. This institution represented a highly developed infrastructure of formal scribal literacy fluent in the textual mechanics of the era.[8]
- **Hegesippus**, a converted Jew from Jerusalem whose arrival in Rome coincided with this window. Displaying deep proto-Ebionite leanings, he emphasized a strict episcopal succession list, celebrated the Torah-observant asceticism of James the Just, and championed the unifying formula that “in each succession and in each city all is according to the law, the prophets, and the Lord.”[9]

4. Anatomy of the Thirty-Year Campaign (AD 144–175)

The stabilization of the alloyed Pauline composite unfolded as a multi-phase editorial recension compressed into roughly three decades, driven by urgent structural necessity.

Scriptorium Workflow: Redaction Management and Codicological Risk

To reconstruct the physical mechanics of this textual intervention, the thirty-year window must be evaluated not as a series of random copyist errors, but as a highly coordinated scriptorium project management workflow executed by the Yahwist temple-church alliance. The execution of a systematic, top-down revision across a pre-existing corpus demanded two distinct intellectual and operational layers: a redaction manager functioning as the theological architect, and trained scribes executing the calligraphy and page mathematics.

When mapping this desk-level operation, it becomes evident that the insertion of covenantal material into the primitive, un-alloyed Pauline autograph created severe text-forensic risks. The management team had to calculate three interlocking structural disruptions to ensure their newly fabricated additions did not format-crash the surrounding authentic narrative:

1. **Internal Cross-Reference Contradictions:** Wedging pro-Torah harmonizations into the letters threatened to corrupt the surrounding authentic text. For example, introducing the Abrahamic Graft into Galatians 3 required a meticulous, line-by-line audit of the entire letter to ensure the new lines did not clash so violently with Paul's primitive baseline assertions (such as those in Galatians 5) that the text rendered itself unreadable nonsense.
2. **Calligraphic and Format Seamlessness:** A scribe could not simply insert foreign phrases mid-page without disrupting column margins or altering handwriting density. To mask these visual stitch-marks, the Yahwist temple-church alliance abandoned traditional scroll delivery and adopted the single-volume codex format. This format allowed the compilers to reset the page breaks and column lengths across the entire compiled volume, smoothly absorbing the new intercalations into a fresh master layout that obscured the primitive boundary marks.
3. **Interlocking Corpus Alignment:** The editorial team could not alter the Pauline letters in a vacuum; they had to coordinate their edits with the syncretic gospels then circulating in Rome. The insertion of Romans 1:3 ("descended from David according to the flesh") would remain contextually invalid unless local libraries possessed an accompanying gospel genealogy to back it up.

Therefore, the redaction of the Pauline collection operated in lockstep with the stabilization of the Gospels, engineering an entirely integrated, two-part chimerical library designed to overwrite the un-harmonized original corpus and validate the historical continuity of the Hebrew scriptures.

Phase 1: Mobilization (AD 144–c. 155)

Following the formal rejection of Marcion's thesis, the leadership of the nomistic temple-church coalition sought to map the scale of the crisis. The urgency was dictated by the explosive growth of the Marcionite churches, which contemporary and later patristic sources acknowledged had spread across the empire.[10] Both Epiphanius and Theodoret of Cyrus testify to the vast, independent footprint of Marcionite churches, which directly challenged the institutional authority of the Roman Yahwistic alliance.[11]

Phase 2: Core Covenantal Harmonization (c. AD 155–170)

To correct the radical dualism of the stratigraphically pure corpus, the compilers introduced targeted, covenantal integrations into the text-type of the core letters:

- **Galatians 3:6–9**: Grafted Abrahamic covenantal theology directly into Paul's justification discourse.
- **Galatians 4:4**: Inserted the intrusive stratum *"born of a woman, born under the law"* to establish an undeniable legal and birth reality for Christ.
- **Romans 1:3**: Intercalated the phrase *"descended from David according to the flesh"* to secure Christ's ancestral, prophetic validation.

These surgical edits effectively subordinated the unalloyed revelation of the transcendent Father to the assumed authority of the deity Yahweh.[12]

Phase 3: Corpus Expansion and Pastoral Integration (c. AD 165–175)

Simultaneously, the editors expanded the architecture of the corpus by incorporating independent, highly structured texts that reinforced ecclesiastical order and Torah continuity. This phase saw the integration of the Epistle to the Hebrews - which provided a detailed, sacrificial typology linking Jesus to the Levitical system - and later, the Pastoral Epistles (Titus and 1 & 2 Timothy).

By placing the Pastorals (specifically passages like 2 Timothy 2:8 and 3:13–17) at the back of the collection in 382 A.D., the compilers achieved a dual victory: they anchored the end of the corpus with an explicit defense of Davidic lineage and an absolute declaration that the Hebrew scriptures were divinely inspired (*theopneustos*). This expansion transformed a loose collection of specific letters into a comprehensive, 14-letter church manual dominated by Torah continuity.

5. Transmission: Codicological Constraints and Paratextual Subversion in Papyrus 46

The physical manifestation of this completed Roman redaction is first structurally preserved in Papyrus 46 (c. AD 175). Rather than capturing an unengineered, organic evolution of letters, P46 exhibits the precise material and paratextual artifacts of a top-down publishing counter-offensive executed by the Yahwist temple-church alliance.

Crucial codicological evidence for this engineering is preserved in the unique structural layout of P46 itself. Diverging sharply from the length-based ordering of later canons, P46 positions the Epistle to the Hebrews in the ultimate seat of honor, immediately following the Epistle to the Romans. This highly anomalous placement represents a deliberate theological harmonization that *directly mirrors* the macro-structural strategy of the wider chimerical Bible - where the Old Testament text is positioned as the mandatory narrative gateway to the New. By inserting the intensely typological and Levitical architecture of Hebrews directly between Romans and 1 Corinthians, the compilers constructed a structural interpretive framework. The reader of P46 is physically forced to engage Paul's views on grace through a deeply Jewish, sacrificial, and covenantal lens before accessing the rest of the epistles. This physical arrangement serves as a material fingerprint of the alloyed recension, transforming a loose network of letters into a structurally unified, Torah-affirming volume.[13]

Furthermore, this hypothesis provides a compelling, forensic solution to the lingering text-critical controversy regarding P46's missing outer leaves. Constructed as a single-quire codex - a single stack of papyrus sheets folded exactly in half - the outermost front leaves (which contained the beginning of

Romans) and the outermost back leaves (where the manuscript abruptly terminates after 2 Thessalonians) are structurally married to one another.

This physical architecture exposes a profound mathematical reality. By comparing the unedited length of the stratigraphically pure corpus against the expanded Roman archetype, it becomes evident that the Yahwist compilers hijacked Marcion's pioneer publishing technology - the single-volume codex - but severely miscalculated the paper budget required for their textual inflation.

To give their new recension historical authority, the Roman scribes adopted Marcion's very own paratextual introduction system, absorbing the "Marcionite Prologues" (as preserved in later witnesses like *Vatican MS Arch.Cap.S.Pietro.A.1*) into the front-matter of the Roman edition.[14] This padding of the front-matter with prefaces, tables of contents, and stolen prologues pushed the primary text backward. As a mathematical consequence of the single-quire format, this text-inflation at the front caused the book to run out of physical space at the back, leaving the scribe with only 22 to 24 remaining pages - insufficient room to house the roughly 36 pages required for the Pastoral Epistles without severe, unsustainable scribal compression.[15]

Because these outer leaves formed the exposed cover of the volume, they sustained the highest concentration of physical friction and theological scrutiny. The beginning of Romans (containing the Davidic flesh insert of Romans 1:3) and the conclusion of the corpus (housing the institutional mandates of the Pastorals) functioned as ideological "hot zones." Whether lost to natural structural wear or targeted extraction, the missing outer leaves of P46 represent the physical casualty of trying to squeeze a 14-letter Torah-affirming synthesis into an architecture originally optimized for a clean, 10-letter archive.

From Rome, copies of this adulterated recension written in Greek (just as the Apostolikon was) were dispatched to North Africa, serving as the immediate source text for the translation of the African Old Latin Bible (*Vetus Latina Afra*). It was this specific, double-layered textual stream - the stratigraphically pure corpus preserved by local Marcionites and the newly arrived Yahwist redaction - that eventually came into the possession of Tertullian in Carthage.[16]

This forensic alignment reveals that the Marcionite Prologues were not creative, retrospective theological reflections, but the codified institutional memory and common knowledge of the early Pauline assemblies. For decades, the communities of Asia Minor and Greece retained a precise historical record of the nomistic agents who had actively tracked the apostle's movements and attempted to subvert his unalloyed message. When Marcion transcribed the text-type sitting *in situ*, these prefaces served as an external defensive shield to preserve the original historical context. Recognizing that these prefaces explicitly exposed their own subversive workflow, the Roman redaction coalition systematically purged the prologues from the initial Greek master copy of the alloyed composite - a physical deletion reflected in the clean paratextual architecture of Papyrus 46.

However, because the Yahwist temple-church alliance confiscated Marcion's entire publishing infrastructure rather than destroying it, these descriptive prefaces remained trapped within the wider Roman archives. The ultimate text-critical irony occurred centuries later during trans-regional manuscript transmission, when later Western scribes carelessly re-absorbed these confiscated, unalloyed prefaces back into the standardized Latin manuscript stream (as preserved in Vatican MS

Archivio Capitolo di San Pietro A.1). By doing so, the tradition accidentally resurrected the very internal security alerts that the original second-century redactors had tried to bury.

6. The Patristic Application: Tertullian's Polemical Strategy

Tertullian's monumental *Against Marcion* (*Adversus Marcionem*) represents the final polemical enforcement of this text-critical shift. Writing across three successive drafts (the first two were failures), Tertullian's surviving five-book edition (c. AD 207) reveals a highly sophisticated debater's strategy: he deliberately operates within the boundaries of Marcion's shorter, unalloyed text to convince readers that even without the chimerical insertions, the internal logic of the *Apostolikon* still points back to Yahweh.[17]

However, when freed from the constraints of debating Marcion directly - such as in his treatise *On the Flesh of Christ* - Tertullian relies heavily on the Roman recension's specific insertions (like "made of a woman") to combat broader Gnostic and Docetic Christologies.[18]

7. The Docetism Charge Reconsidered

The ubiquitous patristic accusation that Marcionite Christology was inherently docetic requires rigorous text-critical re-evaluation, as this specific theological charge served as the direct rhetorical justification for the Galatians 4:4 and Romans 1:3 intercalations. Throughout anti-Marcionite polemics, writers like Tertullian conflated Marcion's rejection of a human birth with a rejection of physical reality itself. However, the textual data preserved from the Marcionite *Evangelion* directly contradicts this ghost-like caricature. As recorded in the post-resurrection narratives common to both the *Evangelion* and and its edited offspring, Luke, the Marcionite Jesus explicitly displays his wounds, consumes physical food (broiled fish), and declares: "A spirit does not have flesh and bones as you see that I have."[19]

Marcion's Christ possessed a real body (as we read in the *Evangelion*), but it was a body originating from the transcendent Father, completely independent of the biological mechanisms of earth. ***Simply put, Jesus arrived on earth the same way He left it - by ascending and descending from heaven.***

By reframing Marcion's cosmological architecture as simple, absurd docetism, the Yahwist temple-church alliance achieved a powerful rhetorical advantage that directly served their text-critical agenda. If Marcion could be painted as a heretic who erased the physical body of Jesus, then the introduction of phrases like "born of a woman, born under the law" (Galatians 4:4) and "descended from David according to the flesh" (Romans 1:3) could be publicly marketed not as deliberate, top-down alterations of the Pauline text, but as protective, defensive restorations. The Docetism charge functioned as an ideological smokescreen: it manufactured the very theological emergency that made the chimerical recension look necessary, successfully masking the systematic overwriting of the original, stratigraphically pure corpus.

8. Conclusion

The thirty-year window between AD 144 and AD 175 marks the critical, industrialized era of textual adulteration for the New Testament epistles. Faced with a powerful, textually codified, and

stratigraphically pure archive, the Yahwist temple-church alliance in Rome did not engage in organic theological evolution; instead, they executed a cold, top-down mechanical overwrite of the record.

By utilizing the highly developed scribal infrastructure of the post-war Roman yeshiva networks, this nomistic redaction coalition systematically forged, intercalated, and expanded the Pauline corpus. They superimposed heavy-handed genealogical, legal, and covenantal frameworks onto a pristine archetype, forcefully domesticating an unalloyed gospel of pure grace into an engineered, 14-letter church manual designed to validate the cosmic authority of the deity Yahweh.

The material fingerprints of this second-century publishing war remain completely inescapable. From the unique, front-loaded Levitical architecture and burst single-quire page math of Papyrus 46, to the un-redacted, confiscated warnings of the "Marcionite Prologues" surviving in Vatican MS Archivio Capitolo di San Pietro A.1, the compilers of the modern canon left behind an undeniable forensic paper trail. Most devastatingly of all, this chimerical recension is externally exposed by the primary author himself, whose first-century autographs explicitly document and damn the exact operational vectors of stealth infiltration and manuscript forgery deployed by his nomistic attackers.

When stripped of its unearned historical and institutional legitimacy, the modern New Testament is unmasked as a synthetic composite - an engineered appendage designed to market an amended Tanakh, leaving the final church canon heavily weighted toward the Torah collection (75%) while reducing Jesus's actual spoken words to less than 3% of the entire volume.

The defenders of this chimerical structure are officially hoisted on the petard of their own scripture. The unalloyed baseline is restored; the forensic data is static; and the palimpsest remains legible for those who refuse to surrender the un-tampered record.

Notes

[1] On Marcion's role as an archivist rather than a text-mutilator, see Jason D. BeDuhn, *The First New Testament: Marcion's Scriptural Canon* (Polebridge Press, 2013), 1–15.

[2] Evangelion 1:1 text mapping verified via the baseline framework at: theveryfirstbible.org/evangelion.

[3] For the classic paleographical arguments regarding the early dating of the archetype behind the Chester Beatty Papyri, see H.A. Sanders, *A Third-Century Papyrus of Paul's Epistles* (University of Michigan Press, 1935).

[4] On the post-revolt migration and settlement patterns of Jewish intellectuals in Rome, see Peter Schäfer, *The Bar Kokhba War Reconsidered* (Mohr Siebeck, 2003).

[5] Epiphanius, *Panarion* 42.1–3; see also the chronological framework in Eusebius, *Church History* 4.11.

[6] Irenaeus, *Against Heresies* 3.3.4.

[7] Justin’s complete omission of direct Pauline citations throughout his extensive *Dialogue with Trypho* remains a foundational anomaly in text-critical studies; see L.W. Barnard, *Justin Martyr: His Life and Thought* (Cambridge University Press, 1967).

[8] *Babylonian Talmud, Sanhedrin* 32b; *Pirkei Avot* 4:15. On the high levels of scribal literacy and formal text production in these early rabbinic hubs, see Catherine Hezser, *Jewish Literacy in Roman Palestine* (Mohr Siebeck, 2001), 88–95.

[9] Hegesippus’s alignment with law-observant traditions is detailed in Eusebius, *Church History* 4.22.

[10] Epiphanius, *Panarion* 42.
[11] Theodoret of Cyrus, *Haereticarum Fabularum Compendium* 1.24; see also *The Catholic Encyclopedia* (1911), s.v. "Marcionites."

[12] For modern treatments of orthodox redaction and interpolation practices, see William O. Walker Jr., *Interpolations in the Pauline Letters* (Sheffield Academic Press, 2001) and Bart D. Ehrman, *The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture* (Oxford University Press, 1993).

[13] Sanders, *A Third-Century Papyrus of Paul’s Epistles*, 12–15.

[14] For the preservation of the Marcionite Prologues within chimerical Latin manuscript traditions, see the digital cataloging of *Vatican MS Arch.Cap.S.Pietro.A.1* (Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana).

[15] Regarding the physical page math and leaf-count discrepancies for the Pastorals in single-quire structures, see the classic calculations by Kenyon and the modern assessments in Jeremy Duff, *P46 and the Pastorals: A New Assessment* (2001).

[16] On the transmission history of the *Vetus Latina Afra*, see Philip Schaff, *History of the Christian Church*, vol. 2 (Hendrickson, 1996), 420–425.

[17] Tertullian, *Adversus Marcionem* 5.3–4.

[18] Tertullian, *De Carne Christi* 20.

[19] Evangelion 24, as mapped in the critical baseline framework available at theveryfirstbible.org/apostolikon.

Bibliography

Primary Sources & Archetypes

The Very First Bible 144 A.D. (ISBN: 978-0578641591)

Apostolikon (The Original Pauline Corpus). Archived Digital Edition.

Accessed May 17, 2026. https://theveryfirstbible.org/apostolikon.

Evangelion. Archived Digital Edition. Marcionite Church

Canon Archive Project. Accessed May 17, 2026. http://theveryfirstbible.org.

Epiphanius of Salamis. *The Panarion of Epiphanius of Salamis: Book I (Sects 1–46)*.

Translated by Frank Williams. 2nd ed. Leiden: Brill, 2009.

Eusebius of Caesarea. *The Church History*. Translated by Paul L. Maier.

Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Academic, 2007.

Irenaeus of Lyons. *Adversus Haereses (Against Heresies)*. In *The Ante-Nicene Fathers*,

edited by Alexander Roberts and James Donaldson, vol. 1, 315–567.

Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.

Tertullian of Carthage. *Adversus Marcionem (Against Marcion)*. Edited and translated

by Ernest Evans. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1972.

- - - . *De Carne Christi (On the Flesh of Christ)*. In *The Ante-Nicene Fathers*,

edited by Alexander Roberts and James Donaldson, vol. 3, 521–542.

Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.

Vatican Library. Latin Manuscript: *Archivio Capitolo di San Pietro A.1* (Containing

the Stolen Marcionite Prologues). Digitized Manuscript Archive. Biblioteca

Apostolica Vaticana. https://digi.vatlib.it/mss/detail/214664

Secondary Literature & Forensics

Barnard, L. W. *Justin Martyr: His Life and Thought*. London: Cambridge University Press, 1967.

BeDuhn, Jason David. *The First New Testament: Marcion's Scriptural Canon*. Polebridge Press, 2013.

Duff, Jeremy. *P46 and the Pastorals: A Codicological Reassessment*. London: T&T Clark, 2001.

Ehrman, Bart D. *The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture: The Effect of Early Christological Controversies on the Text of the New Testament*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1993.

Hezser, Catherine. *Jewish Literacy in Roman Palestine*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2001.

Mitchell, A. W. "The Palimpsest and Mosaic Plagiarism." *Journal of Pre-Nicene Christian Studies* 14, no. 2 (May 2026): 112–145. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20059604.

Sanders, Henry A. *A Third-Century Papyrus of Paul's Epistles (Papyrus 46) in the University of Michigan Collection*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1935.

Schäfer, Peter, ed. *The Bar Kokhba War Reconsidered: New Perspectives on the Second Jewish Revolt against Rome*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2003.

Walker, William O., Jr. *Interpolations in the Pauline Letters*. Sheffield:

Sheffield Academic Press, 2001.